## Teaching Excellence Framework Technical Consultation – Response Form

**Name/Organisation:**

**Please tick the box that best describes you as a respondent to this consultation:**

|  | Respondent type |
| --- | --- |
|  | Alternative higher education provider (with designated courses) |
|  | Alternative higher education provider (no designated courses) |
|  | Awarding organisation |
|  | Business/Employer |
|  | Central government |
|  | Charity or social enterprise |
|  | Further Education College |
|  | Higher Education Institution |
|  | Individual (Please describe any particular relevant interest; parent, student, teaching staff etc.) |
|  | Legal representative |
|  | Local Government |
|  | Professional Body |
|  | Representative Body |
|  | Research Council |
|  | Student |
|  | Trade Union or staff association |
|  | Other (please describe) |

Question 1 (Chapter 1)

Do you agree with the criteria proposed in Figure 4?

Yes No  Not sure

Please outline your reasons and suggest any alternatives or additions.

Question 2 (Chapter 3)

A) How should we include a highly skilled employment metric as part of the TEF?

B) If included as a core metric, should we adopt employment in Standard Occupational Classification (SOC) groups 1-3 as a measure of graduates entering highly skilled jobs?

Yes  No  Not sure

C) Do you agree with our proposal to include all graduates in the calculation of the employment/destination metrics?

Yes  No  Not sure

Please outline your reasons and suggest any alternatives.

Question 3 (Chapter 3)

A) Do you agree with the proposed approach for setting benchmarks?

Yes  No  Not sure

B) Do you agree with the proposed approach for flagging significant differences between indicator and benchmark (where differences exceed 2 standard deviations and 2 percentage points)?

Yes  No  Not sure

Please outline your reasons if you disagree.

Question 4 (Chapter 3)

Do you agree that TEF metrics should be averaged over the most recent three years of available data?

Yes  No  Not sure

Please outline your reasons and suggest alternatives.

Question 5 (Chapter 3)

Do you agree the metrics should be split by the characteristics proposed above?

Yes No  Not sure

Please outline your reasons and suggest alternatives.

Question 6 (Chapter 3)

Do you agree with the contextual information that will be used to support TEF assessments proposed above?

Yes No  Not sure

Please outline your reasons and suggest any alternatives or additions.

Question 7 (Chapter 3)

**A)** Do you agree with the proposed approach for the provider submission?

Yes No  Not sure

B) Do you agree with the proposed 15 page limit?

Yes No  Not sure

Please explain your reasons and outline any alternative suggestions.

Question 8 (Chapter 3)

Without the list becoming exhaustive or prescriptive, we are keen to ensure that the examples of additional evidence included in Figure 6 reflect a diversity of approaches to delivery. Do you agree with the examples?

Yes No  Not sure

Please outline your reasons and suggest any additions or alternatives?

Question 9 (Chapter 4)

A) Do you think the TEF should issue commendations?

Yes No  Not sure

B) If so, do you agree with the areas identified above?

Yes No  Not sure

Please indicate if you have any additional or alternative suggestions for areas that might be covered by commendations.

Question 10 (Chapter 4)

Do you agree with the assessment process proposed?

Yes No  Not sure

Please outline your reasons and any alternative suggestions. The proposed process is set within a relatively tight timescale, reflected in the key dates included in Annex B. Responses should be framed within this context.

Question 11 (Chapter 4)

Do you agree that in the case of providers with less than three years of core metrics, the duration of the award should reflect the number of years of core metrics available?

Yes No  Not sure

Please outline your reasons.

Question 12 (Chapter 5)

Do you agree with the descriptions of the different TEF ratings proposed in Figure 9?

Yes No  Not sure

Please outline your reasons and any alternative suggestions.

**Thank you for taking the time to let us have your views.**

We do not intend to acknowledge receipt of individual responses unless you tick the box below.

Please acknowledge this reply

At BIS we carry out our research on many different topics and consultations. As your views are valuable to us, would you be happy for us to contact you again from time to time either for research or to send through consultation documents?

Yes No
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